is presented as an "image" articulated in response to the demands of male ideology.

of our own intervention as feminist critics and film-makers in patriarcha reversals and narrative interruptions, we can learn much about the possibility prevents us from accepting the film on any level as Reality. Merrily We Go To relationship to the film, nevertheless holds off identification by reminding us reversal, by implicating us in the pleasure/pain aspect of our voyeuristic we now see Joan (from behind Gerry) framed in the doorway in her turn, and the mock-directors are forced to shout "Cut!" As Gerry and Claire friction of image and diegesis,6 and the montage of interventions of ironic this concern in Arzner's films with the potential displacement-effect of the representation through which ideology attempts to fix our place for us. From in that process as spectators calls into question the forms of cinematographic process of dialectical play between image and narrative, and by implicating us Hell, by operating a process of montage of interventions, asserts the text as a that we are engaged in a process of fabricating images. This intervention transformed into the "image" of an embittered, frustrated woman. This become aware of Joan's presence they look towards her and in a reverse shot first from behind Joan, and watch with her as the mock-kiss becomes "real" kiss, their enthusiastic audience of friends applauding. We see the "scene" at cameras as Joan looks on. Gerry and Claire are framed in the doorway as they in which Gerry and Claire enact a mock love-scene in front of imaginary film the function of the image in "holding representation at a distance" is the scene The scene which perhaps most clearly emphasizes a preoccupation with

Notes

- Patriarchal ideology refers to the patriarchal laws which govern our society and which produce contradictions (see Juliet Mitchell, Psychoanalysis and Feminism, Allen Lane, 1974).
- 2. Heath bases his model of representation in the cinema on the Freudian structure of the fetish. I am suggesting that in this structure the place of woman (who is seen to be lacking the male penis, and endowed with the "saving substitute") is fixed as the locus of the problem both of the recognition of the threat of castration, and of the disavowal of that threat (thus she is "the empty space which must be filled"). Heath claims that his model applies to all classic cinema. My reading of Merrily We Go To Hell suggests that Arzner's film offers a critique of this structure by means of a displacement of meanings which seeks to transform this "fixed place" of the spectrator. (For further discussion of this question, see "The Place of Woman in the Cinema of Raoull Walsh" by Pam Cook and Claire Johnston.)
- 3. The use of capitals is intended here and elsewhere in this article to indicate that concepts such as truth or reality are specifically constructed, not natural "givens" with universal validity.
- 4. "Dance, Girl, Dance," by Karyn Kay and Gerald Peary, in Velvet Light Trap No. 10 (Fall 1973), p. 26.
- 5. A character from Uncle Tom's Cabin.
- The self-contained fictional world of the film.

4

Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema

Laura Mulvey

Introduction

A Political Use of Psychoanalysis

This paper intends to use psychoanalysis to discover where and how the fascination of film is reinforced by pre-existing patterns of fascination already at work within the individual subject and the social formations that have moulded him. It takes as starting point the way film reflects, reveals and even plays on the straight, socially established interpretation of sexual difference which controls images, erotic ways of looking and spectacle. It is helpful to understand what the cinema has been, how its magic has worked in the past, while attempting a theory and a practice which will challenge this cinema of the past. Psychoanalytic theory is thus appropriated here as a political weapon, demonstrating the way the unconscious of patriarchal society has structured film form.

The paradox of phallocentrism in all its manifestations is that it depends on the image of the castrated woman to give order and meaning to its world. An idea of woman stands as lynch pin to the system: it is her lack that produces the phallus as a symbolic presence, it is her desire to make good the lack that the phallus signifies. Recent writing in *Screen* about psychoanalysis and the cinema has not sufficiently brought out the importance of the representation of the female form in a symbolic order in which, in the last resort, it speaks castration and nothing else. To summarize briefly: the function of woman in forming the patriarchal unconscious it two-fold, she first symbolizes the castration threat by her real absence of a penis and second

of woman still tied to her place as bearer of meaning, not maker of meaning. obsessions through linguistic command by imposing them on the silent image a penis (the condition, she imagines, of entry into the symbolic). Either she bound by a symbolic order in which man can live out his fantasies and else struggle to keep her child down with her in the half-light of the imaginary. must gracefully give way to the word, the Name of the Father and the Law, or Woman then stands in patriachal culture as signifier for the male other, transcend it. She turns her child into the signifier of her own desire to possess the bleeding wound, she can exist only in relation to castration and cannot Freud's famous phrase). Woman's desire is subjected to her image as bearer of plenitude and memory of lack. Both are posited on nature (or on anatomy in language except as a memory which oscillates between memory of maternal meaning in the process is at an end, it does not last into the world of law and thereby raises her child into the symbolic. Once this has been achieved, her

unconscious which are scarcely relevant to phallocentric theory: the sexing of arrival of language) while still caught within the language of the patriarchy. least advance our understanding of the status quo, of the patriarchal order in vagina. . . . But, at this point, psychoanalytic theory as it now stands can at woman as non-mother, maternity outside the signification of the phallus, the the female infant and her relationship to the symbolic, the sexually mature are still separated by a great gap from important issues for the female provides, of which psychoanalysis is not the only but an important one. We we can begin to make a break by examining patriarchy with the tools it which we are caught. unconscious structured like a language (formed critically at the moment of problem closer, it faces us with the ultimate challenge: how to fight the It gets us nearer to the roots of our oppression, it brings an articulation of the exact rendering of the frustration experienced under the phallocentric order. There is no way in which we can produce an alternative out of the blue, but There is an obvious interest in this analysis for feminists, a beauty in its

Destruction of Pleasure as a Radical Weapon

conscious and ironic Hollywood managed to be, it always restricted itself to a cinema. The alternative cinema provides a space for a cinema to be born formal mise-en-scene reflecting the dominant ideological concept of the has been possible for an alternative cinema to develop. However selfcinematic production, which can now be artisanal as well as capitalist. Thus it nological advances (16 mm, etc.) have changed the economic conditions of exemplified at its best by Hollywood in the 1930s, 1940s and 1950s. Tech-It is no longer the monolithic system based on large capital investment seeing and pleasure in looking. Cinema has changed over the last few decades ways the unconscious (formed by the dominant order) structures ways of As an advanced representation system, the cinema poses questions of the

> cinema is now possible, but it can still only exist as a counterpoint. these obsessions and assumptions. A politically and aesthetically avant-garde to stress that the alternative cinema must start specifically by reacting against reflect the psychical obsessions of the society which produced it, and, further, moralistically, but to highlight the ways in which its formal preoccupations basic assumptions of the mainstream film. This is not to reject the latter which is radical in both a political and an aesthetic sense and challenges the

tive is the thrill that comes from leaving the past behind without rejecting it. negation of the ease and plenitude of the narrative fiction film. The alternaabstract, nor of intellectualized unpleasure, but to make way for a total ego that represent the high point of film history hitherto must be attacked the image of woman. It is said that analyzing pleasure, or beauty, destroys it. memory by a sense of loss, by the terror of potential lack in fantasy, came near only through these codes that the alienated subject, torn in his imaginary nant patriarchal order. In the highly developed Hollywood cinema it was challenged, mainstream film coded the erotic into the language of the domiaspect, from its skilled and satisfying manipulation of visual pleasure. Unfell within its sphere of influence) arose, not exclusively, but in one important pleasurable expectations in order to conceive a new language of desire. transcending outworn or oppressive forms, or daring to break with normal Not in favor of a reconstructed new pleasure, which cannot exist in the That is the intention of this article. The satisfaction and reinforcement of the that erotic pleasure in film, its meaning, and in particular the central place of his own formative obsessions. This article will discuss the interweaving of to finding a glimpse of satisfaction: through its formal beauty and its play on The magic of the Hollywood style at its best (and of all the cinema which

Pleasure in Looking/Fascination with the Human Form

pre-genital auto-eroticism, after which the pleasure of the look is transferred scopophilia is essentially active. (Later, in Instincts and Their Vicissitudes, other people's genital and bodily functions, about the presence or absence of erotogenic zones. At this point he associated scopophilia with taking other nent instincts of sexuality which exist as drives quite independently of the in the reverse formation, there is pleasure in being looked at. Originally, in his A. The cinema offers a number of possible pleasures. One is scopophilia Freud developed his theory of scopophilia further, attaching it initially to the penis, and, retrospectively, about the primal scene). In this analysis desire to see and make sure of the private and the forbidden (curiosity about particular examples center around the voyeuristic activities of children, their people as objects, subjecting them to a controlling and curious gaze. His Three Essays on Sexuality, Freud isolated scopophilia as one of the compo-There are circumstances in which looking itself is a source of pleasure, just as,

objectified other. sexual satisfaction can come from watching, in an active controlling sense, an at another person as object. At the extreme, it can become fixated into a perversion, producing obsessive voyeurs and Peeping Toms, whose only tion of the ego, it continues to exist as the erotic basis for pleasure in looking Although the instinct is modified by other factors, in particular the constitubetween the active instinct and its further development in a narcissistic form.) to others by analogy. There is a close working here of the relationship

blantantly one of repression of their exhibitionism and projection of the world. Among other things, the position of the spectators in the cinema is another) and the brilliance of the shifting patterns of light and shade on the repressed desire on to the performer. narrative conventions give the spectator an illusion of looking in on a private film is really being shown, is there to be seen, conditions of screening and screen helps to promote the illusion of voyeuristic separation. Although the darkness in the auditorium (which also isolates the spectators from one their voyeuristic phantasy. Moreover, the extreme contrast between the ence of the audience, producing for them a sense of separation and playing on hermetically sealed world which unwinds magically, indifferent to the presfilm, and the conventions within which it has consciously evolved, portray a What is seen of the screen is so manifestly shown. But the mass of mainstream world of the surreptitious observation of an unknowing and unwilling victim At first glance, the cinema would seem to be remote from the undercover

projects this body outside itself as an ideal ego, the alienated subject, which, conceived as the reflected body of the self, but its misrecognition as superior identification with others. This mirror-moment predates language for the re-introjected as an ego ideal, gives rise to the future generation o child's physical ambitions outstrip his motor capacity, with the result that analysis are relevant here. The mirror phase occurs at a time when the mirror is crucial for the constitution of the ego. Several aspects of this surroundings, the visible presence of the person in the world. Jacques Lacan intermingle with a fascination with likeness and recognition: the human space, stories are all anthropomorphic. Here, curiosity and the wish to look conventions of mainstream film focus attention on the human form. Scale, also goes further, developing scopophilia in its narcissistic aspect. The Recognition is thus overlaid with mis-recognition: the image recognized is be more complete, more perfect than he experiences his own body his recognition of himself is joyous in that he imagines his mirror image to has described how the moment when a child recognizes its own image in the face, the human body, the relationship between the human form and its The cinema satisfies a primordial wish for pleasurable looking, but it

1 13.04

Important for this article is the fact that it is an image that constitutes

similarities between screen and mirror (the framing of the human form in its the matrix of the imaginary, of recognition/misrecognition and identificaof that pre-subjective moment of image recognition. At the same time the ego. The sense of forgetting the world as the ego has subsequently come to enough to allow temporary loss of ego while simultaneously reinforcing the surroundings, for instance), the cinema has structures of fascination strong which has found such intensity of expression in film and such joyous obvious example) collides with the initial inklings of self-awareness. Hence tion, and hence of the first articulation of the "I," of subjectivity. This is a glamorous impersonates the ordinary). screen story as they act out a complex process of likeness and difference (the in particular in the star system, the stars centering both screen presence and cinema has distinguished itself in the production of ego ideals as expressed perceive it (I forgot who I am and where I was) is nostalgically reminiscent recognition in the cinema audience. Quite apart from the extraneous it is the birth of the long love affair/despair between image and self-image moment when an older fascination with looking (at the mother's face, for an

eroticized concept of the world that forms the perception of the subject and overlaying each other, the tension between instinctual drives and selfmakes a mockery of empirical objectivity. pursue aims in indifference to perceptual reality, creating the imagized, have no signification, they have to be attached to an idealization. Both Both are formative structures, mechanisms not meaning. In themselves they was crucial for Freud. Although he saw the two as interacting and is a function of the sexual instincts, the second of ego libido. This dichotomy through the spectator's fascination with and recognition of his like. The first other demands identification of the ego with the object on the screen identity of the subject from the object on the screen (active scopophilia), the narcissism and the constitution of the ego, comes from identification with object of sexual stimulation through sight. The second, developed through preservation continues to be a dramatic polarization in terms of pleasure. the image seen. Thus, in film terms, one implies a separation of the crotic The first, scopophilic, arises from pleasure in using another person as an pleasurable structures of looking in the conventional cinematic situation. Sections II. A and B have set out two contradictory aspects of the

of transcending the instinctual and the imaginary, but its point of reference which articulates desire. Desire, born with language, allows the possibility and identification processes have a meaning within the symbolic order world of the screen is subject to the law which produces it. Sexual instincts continually returns to the traumatic moment of its birth: the castration found a beautifully complementary fantasy world. In reality the fantasy illusion of reality in which this contradiction between libido and ego has During its history, the cinema seems to have evolved a particular

complex. Hence the look, pleasurable in form, can be threatening in content, and it is woman as representation/image that crystallizes this paradox.

III Woman as Image, Man as Bearer of the Look

A. In a world ordered by sexual imbalance, pleasure in looking has been split between active/male and passive/female. The determining male gaze projects its phantasy on to the female figure which is styled accordingly. In their traditional exhibitionist role women are simultaneously looked at and displayed, with their appearance coded for strong visual and erotic impact so that they can be said to connote to-be-looked-at-ness. Woman displayed as sexual object is the leit-motif of erotic spectacle: from pin-ups to strip-tease, from Ziegfeld to Busby Berkeley, she holds the look, plays to and signifies male desire. Mainstream film neatly combined spectacle and narrative. (Note, however, how in the musical song-and-dance numbers break the flow of the diegesis.) The presence of woman is an indispensable element of spectacle in normal narrative film, yet her visual presence tends to work against the development of a story line, to freeze the flow of action in moments of erotic contemplation. This alien presence then has to be integrated into cohesion with the narrative. As Budd Boetticher has put it:

What counts is what the heroine provokes, or rather what she represents. She is the one, or rather the love or fear she inspires in the hero, or else the concern he feels for her, who makes him act the way he does. In herself the woman has not the slightest importance.

songs in To Have and Have Not. Similarly, conventional close-ups of legs militude. For a moment the sexual impact of the performing woman takes the characters in the film are neatly combined without breaking narrative verisiscreen. For instance, the device of the show-girl allows the two looks to be different mode of eroticism. One part of a fragmented body destroys the Monroe's first appearance in The River of No Return and Lauren Bacall's film into a no-man's-land outside its own time and space. Thus Marilyn performs within the narrative, the gaze of the spectator and that of the male unified technically without any apparent break in the diegesis. A woman auditorium, with a shifting tension between the looks on either side of the within the screen story, and as erotic object for the spectator within the displayed has functioned on two levels: as erotic object for the characters "buddy movie," in which the active homosexual eroticism of the central male altogether; hence the development of what Molly Haskell has called the (Dietrich, for instance) or a face (Garbo) integrate into the narrative a figures can carry the story without distraction.) Traditionally, the woman (A recent tendency in narrative film has been to dispense with this problem

within the diegesis. (This tension and the shift from one pole to the other can structure a single text. Thus both in Only Angels Have Wings and in To Have and Have Not, the film opens with the woman as object of the combined gaze

Renaissance space, the illusion of depth demanded by the narrative, it gives flatness, the quality of a cut-out or icon rather than verisimilitude to the screen.

natural space, and through him gaining control and possession of the woman associated with a look: that of the spectator in direct scopophilic contact with sentation of woman in film and conventions surrounding the diegesis. Each is spatial illusion in which he articulates the look and creates the action. of screen space. The male protagonist is free to command the stage, a stage of and camera movements (determined by the action of the protagonist), comaginary existence. He is a figure in a landscape. Here the function of film is to which the alienated subject internalized his own representation of this imactive male figure (the ego ideal of the identification process) demands a more in control of motor coordination. In contrast to woman as icon, the events better than the subject/spectator, just as the image in the mirror was object of the gaze, but those of the more perfect, more complete, more spectacle. This is made possible through the processes set in motion by that of the spectator fascinated with the image of his like set in an illusion of the female form displayed for his enjoyment (connoting male phantasy) and C.1 Sections III. A and B have set out a tension between a mode of reprebined with invisible editing (demanded by realism) all tend to blur the limits perception. Camera technology (as exemplified by deep focus in particular) reproduce as accurately as possible the so-called natural conditions of human three-dimensional space corresponding to that of the mirror-recognition in the mirror. The character in the story can make things happen and control powerful ideal ego conceived in the original moment of recognition in front of male movie star's glamorous characteristics are thus not those of the erotic power of the erotic look, both giving a satisfying sense of omnipotence. A power of the male protagonist as he controls events coincides with the active tor can identify. As the spectator identifies with the main male' protagonist, structuring the film around a main controlling figure with whom the spectascreen to neutralize the extra-diegetic tendencies represented by woman as sense: as the bearer of the look of the spectator, transferring it behind the film phantasy and also emerges as the representative of power in a further one of forwarding the story, making things happen. The man controls the sexual objectification. Man is reluctant to gaze at his exhibitionist like. Hence narrative structure. According to the principles of the ruling ideology and the he projects his look on to that of his like, his screen surrogate, so that the the split between spectacle and narrative supports the man's role as the active physical structures that back it up, the male figure cannot bear the burden of B. An active/passive heterosexual division of labor has similarly controlled

glamorous characteristics, her generalized sexuality, her show-girl connotawith the main male protagonist and becomes his property, losing her outward possess her too.) tion with him, through participation in his power, the spectator can indirectly ous, on display, sexualized. But as the narrative progresses she falls in love of spectator and all the male protagonists in the film. She is isolated, glamortions; her eroticism is subjected to the male star alone. By means of identifica-

tration), asserting control, and subjecting the guilty person through punishment or forgiveness. This sadistic side fits well with narrative. Sadism dehand, provides many pure examples of fetishistic scopophilia. more complex, as he uses both mechanisms. Sternberg's work, on the other almost as the content of subject matter of many of their films. Hitchcock is the by using works by Hitchcock and Sternberg, both of whom take the look alone. These contradictions and ambiguities can be illustrated more simply hand, can exist outside linear time as the erotic instinct is focused on the look linear time with a beginning and an end. Fetishistic scopophilia, on the other another person, a battle of will and strength, victory/defeat, all occuring in a mands a story, depends on making something happen, forcing a change in sadism: pleasure lies in ascertaining guilt (immediately associated with casitself. The first avenue, voyeurism, on the contrary, has associations with physical beauty of the object, transforming it into something satisfying in the female star). This second avenue, fetishistic scopophilia, builds up the of a fetish object or turning the represented figure itself into a fetish so that it of the film noir); or else complete disavowal of castration by the substitution punishment or saving of the guilty object (an avenue typified by the concerns cupation with the re-enactment of the original trauma (investigating the becomes reassuring rather than dangerous (hence overvaluation, the cult of woman, demystifying her mystery), counterbalanced by the devaluation, unconscious has two avenues of escape from this castration anxiety; preoclook, always threatens to evoke the anxiety it originally signified. The male displayed for the gaze and enjoyment of men, the active controllers of the the symbolic order and the law of the father. Thus the woman as icon, is based the castration complex essential for the organization of entrance to absence of the penis as visually ascertainable, the material evidence on which unpleasure. Ultimately, the meaning of woman is sexual difference, the disavows: her lack of a penis, implying a threat of castration and hence She also connotes something that the look continually circles around but But in psychoanalytic terms, the female figure poses a deeper problem.

demand that the figure of the woman (Dietrich, in the cycle of films with her This statement is revealing but ingenuous. Ingenuous in that his films do not interfere with the spectator's undiluted appreciation of the screen image being projected upside down so that story and character involvement would C.2 It is well known that Sternberg once said he would welcome his films

> reduce the visual field. There is little or no mediation of the look through the one-dimensional, as light and shade, lace, steam, foliage, net, streamers, etc., Sternberg plays down the illusion of screen depth; his screen tends to be ups, is the content of the film and the direct recipient of the spectator's look. guilt but a perfect product, whose body, stylized and fragmented by closewoman as object and the screen space coalesce; she is no longer the bearer of ultimate fetish, taking it to the point where the powerful look of the male cock goes into the investigative side of voyeurism, Sternberg produces the paramount rather than narrative or identification processes. While Hitchemphasizes the fact that for him the pictorial space enclosed by the frame is as the ultimate example) should be identifiable. But revealing in that it suspense, and cyclical rather than linear time, while plot complications are irrelevant, it is significant that they are concerned with situation, no eyes of the main male protagonist. On the contrary, shadowy presences like the image in direct erotic rapport with the spectator. The beauty of the protagonist (characteristic of traditional narrative film) is broken in favor of end of Morocco, Tom Brown has already disappeared into the desert when screen, their gaze is one with, not standing in for, that of the audience. At the the fiction. There are other witnesses, other spectators watching her on the absence is that of the controlling male gaze within the screen scene. The high revolve around misunderstanding rather than conflict. The most important from audience identification. Despite Sternberg's insistence that his stories erotic impact, sanctified by death, is displayed as a spectacle for the audience moments of erotic meaning, take place in the absence of the man she loves in point of emotional drama in the most typical Dietrich films, her supreme The male hero misunderstands and, above all, does not see. Dishonored, Kranau is indifferent to the fate of Magda. In both cases, the Amy Jolly kicks off her gold sandals and walks after him. At the end of La Bessière in *Morocco* act as surrogates for the director, detached as they are

erotic drives lead them into compromised situations. The power to subject nition of established morality and shows up its perverted side. Hitchcock has viewing process which in some sense reveals it, Hitchcock uses the process of and fetishistic fascination. As a twist, a further manipulation of the normal experienced by the spectator. In Vertigo in particular, but also in Marnie and Moreover, in these cases the hero portrays the contradictions and tensions with an image through scopophilic eroticism as the subject of the film audience sees. However, in the films I shall discuss here, he takes fascination (Vertigo), a dominant male possessing money and power (Marnie)—but their heroes are exemplary of the symbolic order and the law-a policeman never concealed his interest in voyeurism, cinematic and non-cinematic. His identification normally associated with ideological correctness and the recog-Rear Window, the look is central to the plot, oscillating between voyeurism In Hitchcock, by contrast, the male hero does see precisely what the tor, puts him squarely in the phantasy position of the cinema audience. images. However, his enforced inactivity, binding him to his seat as a spectaperfection; Jeffries's voyeurism and activity have also been established obsessive interest in dress and style, in being a passive image of visual thus finally saves her. Lisa's exhibitionism has already been established by her intruder exposed by a dangerous man threatening her with punishment, and her through his lens, as a distant meaningful image, he also sees her as a guilty opposite, their relationship is re-born erotically. He does not merely watch spectator side. When she crosses the barrier between his room and the block sexual interest to him, more or less a drag, so long as she remained on the look, a central image to the drama. His girl-friend Lisa had been of little correspond to the screen. As he watches, an erotic dimension is added to his cinema. Jeffries is the audience, the events in the apartment block opposite within the screen scene and diegesis which parodies his own in the cinema. In share his uneasy gaze. The audience is absorbed into a voyeuristic situation through his work as a photo-journalist, a maker of stories and captor of his analysis of Rear Window, Douchet takes the film as a metaphor for the male protagonist draw the spectators deeply into his position, making them processes and liberal use of subjective camera from the point of view of the right and the established guilt of the woman (evoking castration, on to the woman as the object of both. Power is backed by a certainty of legal law, the woman on the wrong. Hitchcock's skillful use of identification shallow mask of ideological correctness—the man is on the right side of the psychoanalytically speaking). True perversion is barely concealed under a another person to the will sadistically or to the gaze voyeuristically is turned

tion he does break her down and succeeds in exposing her guilt. His curiosity and then replaying it can she keep Scottie's erotic interest. But in the repetivoyeurism. She knows her part is to perform, and only by playing it through masochism, make her an ideal passive counterpart to Scottie's active sadistic attendant possibilities of pursuit and investigation. As a result, he follows, wins through and she is punished. In Vertigo, erotic involvement with the detail to the actual physical appearance of his fetish. Her exhibitionism, her secretly. He reconstructs Judy as Madeleine, forces her to conform in every he re-enacts his obsessive involvement with the image he loved to watch her to tell by persistent cross-questioning. Then, in the second part of the film, Once he actually confronts her, his erotic drive is to break her down and force watches and falls in love with a perfect image of female beauty and mystery. chosen, for he had been a successful lawyer) to be a policeman, with all the speaking to. Its sadistic side is equally blarant: he has chosen (and freely blatant: he falls in love with a woman he follows and spies on without subsequent despair precisely from his point of view. Scottie's voyeurism is fails to see. The audience follows the growth of his crotic obsession and from Judy's point of view, the narrative is woven around what Scottie sees or In Vertigo, subjective camera predominates. Apart from one flash-back

> complicit as he acts out the implications of his power. He controls money and committing a crime, make her confess and thus save her. So he, too, becomes super-ego. Hence the spectator, lulled into a false sense of security by the symbolic order, in narrative terms. He has all the attributes of the patriarchal words, he can have his cake and eat it. difference and the power of the male symbolic encapsulated in the heroimplications of the active/looking, passive/looked-at split in terms of sexual exposed as complicit, caught in the moral ambiguity of looking. Far from apparent legality of his surrogate, sees through his look and finds himself himself exercising. The Hitchcock hero here is firmly placed within the narrative carries him through and entwines him with the processes that he is in by obsession with her guilt, her secret, he longs to see her in the act of perfect to-be-looked-at image. He, too, is on the side of the law until, drawn Marnie, too, performs for Mark Rutland's gaze and masquerades as the being simply an aside on the perversion of the police, Vertigo focuses on the look is disorientating: the spectator's fascination is turned against him as the

III Summary

controlling the dimension of space (changes in distance, editing), cinematic she is to be looked at into the spectacle itself. Playing on the tension between of the look. It is the place of the look that defines cinema, the possibility of argument returns again to the psychoanalytic background in that woman as ses) act as formations, mechanisms, which this cinema has played on. The object), and, in contradistinction, ego libido (forming identification procesrelevant to the pleasure and unpleasure offered by traditional narrative film. to the measure of desire. It is these cinematic codes and their relationship to codes create a gaze, a world, and an object, thereby producing an illusion cut film as controlling the dimension of time (editing, narrative) and film as beyond highlighting a woman's to-be-looked-at-ness, cinema builds the way voyeuristic potential from, say, strip-tease, theater, shows, etc. Going far varying it and exposing it. This is what makes cinema quite different in its contradiction, thanks to the possibility in the cinema of shifting the emphasis film, but it is only in the film form that they can reach a perfect and beautiful isms to circumvent her threat. None of these interacting layers is intrinsic to representation signifies castration, inducing voyeuristic or fetishistic mechanworked out in its favorite cinematic form—illusionistic narrative film. The further layer demanded by the ideology of the patriarchal order as it is the argument a step further into the structure of representation, adding a image of woman as (passive) raw material for the (active) gaze of man takes The scopophilic instinct (pleasure in looking at another person as an erotic The psychoanalytic background that has been discussed in this article is film and the pleasure it provides can be challenged. formative external structures that must be broken down before mainstream

through the world of illusion as an intrusive, static, one-dimensional fetish. spectator and prevents him from achieving any distance from the image in screen appears directly (without mediation) to the spectator, the fact of image threatens to break the spell of illusion, and the erotic image on the a castration threat constantly endangers the unity of the diegesis and bursts denied an intrinsic force: as soon as fetishistic representation of the female perform with verisimilitude. Simultaneously, the look of the audience is in order to create a convincing world in which the spectator's surrogate can revolves around the perception of the subject; the camera's look is disavowed ments compatible with the human eye, an ideology of representation that mechanism for producing an illusion of Renaissance space, flowing movesubordinated to the neurotic needs of the male ego. The camera becomes the front of him. fetishization, concealing as it does castration fear, freezes the look, fixates the Thus the two looks materially present in time and space are obsessively fiction film contains a contradiction in its own premises: the female image as spectator), fictional drama cannot achieve reality, obviousness and truth the conscious aim being always to eliminate intrusive camera presence and tions of narrative film deny the first two and subordinate them to the third that of the characters at each other within the screen illusion. The conventhe pro-filmic event, that of the audience as it watches the final product, and three different looks associated with cinema; that of the camera as it records Nevertheless, as this article has argued, the structure of looking in narrative (the material existence of the recording process, the critical reading of the prevent a distancing awareness in the audience. Without these two absences crucial part of traditional filmic pleasure can itself be broken down. There are To begin with (as an ending), the voyeuristic-scopophilic look that is a

This complex interaction of looks is specific to film. The first blow against the monolithic accumulation of traditional film conventions (already undertaken by radical film-makers) is to free the look of the camera into its materiality in time and space and the look of the audience into dialectics, passionate detachment. There is no doubt that this destroys the satisfaction, pleasure and privilege of the "invisible guest," and highlights how film has depended on voyeuristic active/passive mechanisms. Women, whose image has continually been stolen and used for this end, cannot view the decline of the traditional film form with anything much more than sentimental regret.

Notes

1. There are films with a woman as main protagonist, of course. To analyze this phenomenon seriously here would take me too far afield. Pam Cook and Claire Johnston's study of The Revolt of Manie Stover in Phil Hardy, ed., Raoul Walsh, Edinburgh 1974, shows in a striking case how the strength of this female protagonist is more apparent than real.

5

Afterthoughts on "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema" inspired by *Duel in the Sun*

Laura Mulvey

So many times over the years since my article "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema," was published in *Screen*, I have been asked why I only used the *male* third person singular to stand in for the spectator. At the time, I was interested in the relationship between the image of woman on the screen and the "masculinization" of the spectator position, regardless of the actual sex (or possible deviance) of any real live movie-goer. In-built patterns of pleasure and identification impose masculinity as "point of view," a point of view which is also manifest in the general use of the masculine third person. However, the persistent question "what about the women in the audience?" and my own love of Hollywood melodrama (equally shelved as an issue in "Visual Pleasure") combined to convince me that, however ironically it had been intended originally, the male third person closed off avenues of inquiry that should be followed up. Finally, *Duel in the Sun* and its heroine's crisis of sexual identity brought both areas together.

I still stand by my "Visual Pleasure" argument, but would now like to pursue the other two lines of thought. First (the "women in the audience" issue), whether the female spectator is carried along, as it were by the scruff of the text, or whether her pleasure can be more deep-rooted and complex. Second (the "melodrama" issue), how the text and its attendant identifications are affected by a female character occupying the center of the narrative arena. So far as the first issue is concerned, it is always possible that the female